EXHIBIT THREE

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE 790TH COUNTY GRAND JURY

Phoenix, Arizona

October 27, 2020

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL GJ

Prepared By:

Brigid M. Donovan, RPR, CCR Arizona Certificate No. 50902 602.506.0257 donovanb@superiorcourt.maricopa.gov SUFERIOR COURT
FILED

TO DOWN 30 PM 3: 57

APPEARANCES:


DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY:

Mike Baker

April Sponsel

Andrea Prigmore

GRAND JURORS:



--000--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

This is 790 GJ 164. The time MR. BAKER: This is the investigation of 15 individuals. 9:09 a.m. They are as follows, the first is Nathaniel Benjamin Llanes; the second is Suvarna Ratnam; next is Brenda Guadalupe, G-U-A-D-A-L-U-P-E, Diaz; the fourth is Nathan Jon, J-O-N, Aderholdt; next is Ryder Collins; next is Riley Morgan, M-O-R-G-A-N, Behrens; next individual is Christopher Charles, C-H-A-R-L-E-S, Roberson; next individual is Kalixta Noemi, N-O-E-M-I, Villasaez; next Britney Erica, E-R-I-C-A, Austin; next individual Jessica Gibson; next is Marysa E. Leyva; next is Dominic Berlage, B-E-R-L-A-G-E, Bonelli; next individual is Kaleb Isiah, I-S-I-A-H, Martin; next person Jacquelyn Alexiz, A-L-E-X-I-Z, Alcaraz, and finally the last individual is Amy Beth, B-E-T-H, Kaper.

This investigation involves five alleged matters. The first is riot, second is obstructing a highway or other public thoroughfare, the third is unlawful assembly, the fourth is conspiracy to commit aggravated assault, the fifth is assisting a criminal street gang. And, oh, I've left out the sixth one. The sixth one is resisting arrest. There is actually six matters. Anyone need any of that introductory information repeated?

GRAND JUROR .: The charges, please. 1 One is riot, two is obstructing 2 MR. BAKER: 3 a highway or other public thoroughfare, three is unlawful assembly, four is conspiracy to commit aggravated 4 assault, five is assisting a criminal street gang, and 5 6 then sixth is resisting arrest. It's alleged that all these events occurred 7 on October 17, 2020. I am going to guess we are down in 8 the vicinity of Washington and 7th avenue, is that 9 10 correct? That is correct. 11 MS. SPONSEL: MR. BAKER: Phoenix, Maricopa County, 12 Arizona. 13 I would advise members of the grand jury 14 that the first five of these matters are alleged as to 15 all 15 individuals. The sixth matter, the resisting 16 17 arrest is alleged as to Mr. Aderholdt only. 18 five is as to all 15. The sixth is to Mr. Aderholdt 19 only. To assist you in determining whether or not 20 21 probable cause exists in the matter, the following statutes may be appropriate: A.R.S. 13-105 --22 Mike, you have a question. 23 MS. SPONSEL: GRAND JUROR * Can you say the first 24 and last name because there is so many. 25

MR. BAKER: I can say them again; I can 1 2 spell them again. GRAND JUROR No, just for that one 3 4 Ader --5 MR. BAKER: His name, Nathan, N-A-T-H-A-N, 6 Jon, J-O-N, Aderholdt, A-D-E-R-H-O-L-D-T. 7 Anyone need any of the other names repeated 8 or spelled associated with allegations? 9 GRAND JURY PANEL: (No response.) I take it by your silence that 10 MR. BAKER: 11 there are none. To assist you in determining whether or not 12 probable cause exists in the matter, the following 13 statutes may be appropriate: A.R.S. 13-105; 301 through 14 304 inclusive, those are the accomplice statutes; 1001 15 which is the attempt statute; 1003 which is the 16 conspiracy statute; 1203, 1204 for aggravated assault; 17 2508 for resisting arrest; for riot 2901 and 2903; 18 unlawful assembly is 2902; obstructing a highway or other 19 public thoroughfare, 2906; and then we also have 2301 and 20 2512 that would be for an allegation having to do with 21 hindering prosecution which is relevant to the charge of 22 assisting a criminal street gang. 23 24 According to Maricopa County Attorney records, the following statutes were read to the members 25

1.4

of the grand jury, with all members present, on October 6, 2020. These have already been read: 105; 301 through 304 inclusive; 1001; 1003; 1203; 1204; 2508; 2301; and 2512.

According to our records, the following statute haves not yet been read to the grand jury: 13-2901, 2902, 2903, and 2906. So you probably know what happens now.

Since you haven't had these statutes yet, I will be passing out each of them to each of you, and then I will be reading them.

13-2901 is, as you've probably guessed by now, definitions for Chapter 29. In this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires:

1. Quote, marijuana, unquote, means all parts of any plant of the genus cannabis from which the resin has not been extracted, whether growing or not, and the seeds of such plant. Marijuana does not include the mature stalks of such plant or the sterilized seed of such plant which is incapable of germination.

It's interesting to know it's not relevant to this investigation. The next one is.

2. Quote, public, unquote, means affecting or likely to affect a substantial group of persons.

Moving on to 13-2902. Unlawful assembly;

classification. 1 A person commits unlawful assembly by: 2 1. Assembling with two or more other 3 persons with the intent to engage in conduct constituting 4 a riot as defined in section 13-2903; or. 5 Being present at an assembly of two or 6 more other persons who are engaged in or who have the 7 readily apparently intent to engage in conduct 8 constituting a riot as defined in section 13-2903 and 9 knowingly remaining there and refusing to obey an 10 official order to disburse. 11 Unlawful assembly is a Class 1 В. 12 13 misdemeanor. Moving on to 13-2903. 14 classification. 15 A person commits riot if, with two or 16 more other persons acting together, such person 17 recklessly uses force or violence or threatens to use 18 force or violence if such threat is accompanied by 19 immediate power of execution which disturbs the public 20 21 peace. Riot is a Class 5 felony. 22 В. And finally this morning for this 23 presentation 13-2906. Obstructing a highway or other 24

public thoroughfare; classification; definition.

25

- A. A person commits obstructing a highway or other public thoroughfare if the person, alone or with other persons, does any of the following:
- 1. Having no legal privilege to do so, recklessly interferes with the passage of any highway or public thoroughfare by creating an unreasonable inconvenience or hazard.
- 2. Intentionally activates a pedestrian signal on a highway or public thoroughfare if the person's reason for activating the signal to not to cross the highway or public thoroughfare but to do both of the following:
- (a) Stop of passage of traffic on the highway or public thoroughfare.
- (b) Solicit a driver for a donation or business.
- 3. After receiving a verbal warning to desist, intentionally interferes with passage on a highway or other public thoroughfare or entrance into a public forum that results in preventing other persons from gaining access to a governmental meeting, a governmental hearing, or political campaign event.
- B. Obstructing a highway or other public thoroughfare under subsection A, paragraph three of this section is a Class 1 misdemeanor. Obstructing a highway

```
or other public thoroughfare under subsection A,
 1
 2
    paragraph one or two of this section is a Class 3
    misdemeanor.
 3
                       For the purposes of this section,
 4
                   C.
 5
    quote, public forum, unquote, has the same meaning
    prescribed in section 13 -- excuse me, section 15-1861.
 6
 7
                   So having noted A.R.S. 13-105; 301 through
    304 inclusive; 1001; 1003; 1203; 1204; 2508; 2301; 2512;
 8
    having read 13-2901, 2902, 2903, and 2906, is there any
 9
10
    members of the grand jury who would like to have any of
    the statutes re-read or clarified at this time?
11
12
                   GRAND JURY PANEL:
                                       (No response.)
                   MR. BAKER: I take it by your silence that
13
14
    there are none.
                   The record should reflect that copies of
15
16
    all statutes previously read to the grand jury as well as
17
    the ones read today have been provided for your use
18
    during this investigation.
19
                   The name of alleged victims in this matter
20
    are, let's start with the State of Arizona by and through
21
    the City of Phoenix and then let's go to...
22
                   MS. SPONSEL:
                                 There is none actually listed
23
    in the indictment.
24
                   MR. BAKER:
                               There should be.
                                                  Here we go.
    As to alleged Count 6, Matthew Morgan, M-A-T-T-H-E-W,
25
```

```
1
    M-O-R-G-A-N; Mario Lozoya, M-A-R-I-O, L-O-Z-O-Y-A;
 2
    Joseph, J-O-S-E-P-H, Kapla, K-A-P-L-A.
 3
                   Do you have any other potential victims you
 4
    want me to mention?
 5
                   MS. SPONSEL: Yeah, I can go ahead and
    mention them.
 6
 7
                   MR. BAKER: Go ahead.
 8
                   MS. SPONSEL:
                                 These are all Phoenix
 9
    officers, Officer William Gates; Sergeant Douglas
10
    McBride; Lieutenant Benjamin Moore; Officer John Sticea,
11
    S-T-I-C-E-A; Officer Jeffrey Tucker; Officer Christopher
12
    Teriano, T-E-R-I-A-N-O; and Sergeant Eric Zopf, Z-O-P-F.
13
                               The witnesses who are here to
                   MR. BAKER:
14
    testify today include Jeffrey, J-E-F-F-R-E-Y, Raymond,
15
    R-A-Y-M-O-N-D, number 10170 with the Phoenix Police
16
    Department as well as Doug, D-O-U-G, McBride,
17
    M-C-B-R-I-D-E, number 6187 with the Phoenix Police
18
    Department.
19
                   The record should reflect the entire grand
20
    jury panel is present.
21
                  Also present in the grand jury room today
22
    are deputy county attorney April Sponsel and Andrea
23
    Prigmore both duly authorized by Arizona state law to
24
    present evidence before this grand jury panel.
25
                  Ladies and gentlemen, the subject matter of
```

this investigation may have received some attention and 1 exposure in the news media. At this time Ms. Sponsel 2 will give you a brief summary of the investigation. 3 MS. SPONSEL: Back on October 17, 2020, at 4 5 approximately 7:00 p.m. in the evening, several individuals with an organization known as ACAB, also 6 known as All Cops Are Bastards, met down in downtown 7 8 Phoenix in order to participate in a riot. individuals entered into the streets with umbrellas that 9 10 shielded them from the police officers. They then turned around and threw smoke bombs at the police officers. 11 They then eventually were arrested after throwing 12 barricades and things of that nature into the streets. 13 They disabled one of the police Tahoes when they threw 14 the barricades out into the streets. And then they 15 were -- when they were eventually arrested, one officer 16 in particular along with other officers were assaulted by 17 the individuals because as one of their techniques that 18 the individuals, this group uses, they use their hands to 19 dig their nails into the hands and arms of the police 20 21 officers to try and get them not to effectuate arrests. 22 Is there anyone on the grand MR. BAKER: jury who has read, heard, or seen anything in the news 23 24 media regarding this investigation? GRAND JURY PANEL: (No response.) 25

1 MR. BAKER: I take it by your silence that 2 there are none. 3 I would remind everyone on the grand jury that any decision made by this grand jury in connection 4 5 with these matters must be based solely upon the evidence 6 presented during this hearing. If at any time during the 7 course of this investigation you realize you have had 8 media exposure that would in any way affect your ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror, please request to 9 be excused. 10 You are further admonished that the summary 11 12 of facts you were just given is not evidence and should 13 be disregarded entirely in your determination of whether or not probable cause exists in these matters. 14 15 Having noted that, let's again note the presence of the entire grand jury. 16 17 Those admonitions read to you this morning 18 concerning persons disqualified from serving as grand 19 jurors are applicable. Are there any grand jurors to 20 whom those admonitions apply? 21 GRAND JURY PANEL: (No response.) 22 MR. BAKER: I take it by your silence that 23 there are none. 24 GRAND JUROR We are about to consider the matter of 790 GJ 164 and the investigation 25

involving individuals named. 1 2 In connection therewith, it is my duty to 3 state to you that if any member of this grand jury has 4 the state of mind in reference to the above-mentioned 5 matter or to any party interested therein which would 6 prevent the juror from acting impartially and without 7 prejudice to the substantial rights of any party, the 8 juror is directed to retire from the grand jury room at 9 this time. 10 (Whereupon the witness enters the room.) 11 12 JEFFREY RAYMOND, 13 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, 14 was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. SPONSEL: 18 Good morning, Officer, can please just introduce 19 yourself to the members of the grand jury. 20 Α My name is Jeffrey Raymond. 21 And where do you currently work? Q 22 Α I work for the Phoenix Police Department in the 23 downtown operations unit. 24 And how long have you been with the Phoenix 25 Police Department?

1	A Since July 2017.
2	Q And you state that you had worked down in the
3	downtown operations unit. What exactly is that unit?
4	A The downtown operations unit is required is
5	responsible for policing, patrolling, and proactive peace
6	keeping in the downtown corridor between 7th Avenue and
7	7th Street approximately Fillmore down to Grant.
8	Q And as part of your job duties in keeping the
9	peace do you sometimes have to arrest individuals and
10	participate in investigations?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Back on October 17, 2020, did you participate in
13	an investigation involving several individuals including
14	Benjamin Llanes, Suvarna Ratnam, and Brenda Diaz along
15	with several other individuals named in this
16	investigation?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And did that investigation approximately start
19	at 7:00 p.m.?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And the location of where this began, where was
22	that at?
23	A It was it began at University Park which is
24	located on the north side of Van Buren between 10th and
25	12th Avenue in Phoenix, Arizona, Maricopa County.

And with regards to this investigation, did you 1 0 2 personally participate in this investigation? I did. 3 Α Were there other officers that participated in O 4 5 this investigation? 6 Α Yes. 7 Were there reports relating to this case? 0 8 Α Multiple reports, yes. Did you have the opportunity to review your 9 Q report as well as multiple reports for your testimony 10 here in front of the grand jury? 11 12 I did. Can you explain to the grand jurors, just give 13 14 them an overview of what happened after these individuals met at University Park? 15 They assembled at University Park. 1.6 thereafter, as a group, they took Van Buren Street, 17 marched eastbound on Van Buren from approximately 10th 18 When they got to 7th Avenue, they marched in the 19 street southbound. 2.0 Now when you say that they marched in the 21 Q street, did they have permission to actually be in the 22 23 street? No. 24 Α Were there actual vehicles such as just like 25 Q

regular traffic that was in the area?

A Yes.

1.8

Q Because these individuals walked out into the middle of the street did that impede the ability of people traveling in their vehicles to travel down that roadway?

A Yes.

O Go ahead.

A From 7th Avenue and Van Buren they marched southbound. In route, they tipped over and threw and stacked various road construction signs. They continued southbound. Once they got to Washington Street, they continued to march eastbound again in the middle of the street. As then continued marching eastbound on Washington from 7th Avenue they clustered together, opened numerous umbrellas thus obscuring individuals within the group.

While they were clustered and shielded by the umbrellas, they released at least two smoke bombs. These smoke bombs were deployed by them purposely in an effort to create a visual impediment to the Phoenix Police Department. It made it more difficult for us to identify individuals. It also caused undue danger to the motorists and the fully marked Phoenix police vehicles that were trailing the group. As a result of the smoke

bombs, it made it more difficult for us to see, it burned our eyes if we weren't in the cars, and one, at least one of the vehicles, one the fully marked police vehicles inadvertently ran over a construction barricade that they had put in the middle of the street.

They continued their march eastbound on Washington Street to Central or thereabouts. And then from there they marched northbound ignoring numerous commands to get out of the street. I could hear the commands from both vehicle PAs and our long-range acoustic device. And my car that I was in was approximately a hundred yards behind the moving group of marchers. The cars that were giving the commands were closer to the group so they could hear the commands and they were purposely disobeyed.

Q Now, with regard to the commands being given, when you say that the cars were closest to the group, were any of these individuals in the group reacting, turning around reacting to the commands that were being given?

A Yes.

1.0

Q What were they doing?

A They were giving the middle finger to the cars giving the commands and yelling various insults back, F the police, things of that nature.

And with regards to when you said they were throwing these smoke bombs, were they actually lobbing them directly toward the police cars that were following them? Α They were. And were there actual -- I know that you said there were officers and police cars, but were there also officers on foot following this group? Α Yes. And did that create an issue with regard to their visibility to be able to see the group upon throwing the smoke bombs? Α It did. And the throwing of the smoke bombs, you say that one Tahoe was already disabled, but did that create a risk that other Tahoes could have run into, let's say, maybe other pedestrians on foot or into other cars if they wouldn't have been able to get around the smoke bombs in a quick manner?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So you stated they continued to go down and you were talking about the part where they are giving commands and they are turning around and flipping you guys off and things of that nature. What is happening next?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They continued northbound disrupting any road Α construction signs and barricades, continued north until they got to Van Buren Street. From Van Buren Street, Lieutenant Moore, the commanding officer on scene, heard them say, heard at least one person in the group of marchers say, they exclaimed, let's take the tracks. it was at that time that Lieutenant Moore also observed a southbound train, light rail, that was north of Van So their intention was to take the tracks and Buren. disallow that southbound right rail from continuing southbound at Van Buren. This took place at approximately 8:35 p.m. At that point, Lieutenant Moore said everybody is now good for arrest, they've obstructed every street that they've been on, move in, take them into custody. By 8:40 p.m. Lieutenant Moore declared code four, all members of the marching group were in custody safely and uninjured. And that's what happened there at Van Buren Q area? Van Buren and -- yes. Α I am sorry, Van Buren and what was the cross Q street? Central. Α So Central and Van Buren, that's where all the Q

1 individuals were taken into custody? Or could have been Van Buren and 1st 2 Yes. Α 3 Avenue between Central and 1st Avenue. 4 Q And did you participate in these arrests? Α I did. 5 And when you said that Lieutenant Moore 6 0 7 eventually put out over the radio that everybody went 8 into custody, did the individuals give up right away? 9 Α They clustered together in one They did not. big group interlocking both legs and arms making it more 10 11 difficult to take them into custody. 12 And did you guys have to continue to give them 1.3 commands when that was all going on? 14 Α Yes. 15 Q And now, were there several videos that were --16 I am sorry, were there body cams and other video systems 17 that were videotaping this group while a lot of this was 18 going on? 19 Α Yes. 20 Q And we are going to talk to Sergeant McBride 21 when he gets in here to testify, but just some 22 clarification questions for you. With regards to these 23 individuals, did officers actually have the opportunity 24 to sit down and speak specifically to two of the

individuals about what was going on?

25

```
1
         Α
              Yes.
 2
         Q
              And one of those individuals being Ryder
 3
     Collins.
               Did officers have the opportunity to read him
 4
     Miranda?
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
         Q
              And did he agree to talk to you about what was
 7
     going on that night?
 8
         Α
              Yes.
 9
         Q
              And what their plans were?
10
         Α
              Yes.
11
              Did he state that he was following the group
         Q
    downtown in order to take photographs of them as well as
12
13
    the police?
14
         Α
              Yes.
              And did he also state that he did in fact hear
15
         Q
16
    the announcements that were been given by officers but
17
    that he apologized for not leaving and following the
18
     lawful order when told to do so?
19
        Α
              Yes.
20
              Did he also state he was moving around the group
21
    as well as officers while the protest was on going so he
22
    could get photographs?
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Now, when officers converged on the group before
25
    they -- you said that they yelled out take the tracks.
```

What exactly does that mean to you, take the tracks? 1 2 Α To me it means that they were going to impede 3 southbound trains, southbound light rails. 4 particular stretch of railroad track it is exclusively southbound. 5 And with regards to Ryder Collins, when officers 6 0 7 were trying to get handle on the entire group, did he actually run up on officers and try to impede their 8 9 ability to be able to take these individuals under arrest 10 by running up on the officers trying to distract them? 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And did officers have to give him several commands to move back? 13 14 Α Yes. And with regards to Riley Behrens, did officers 15 have the opportunity to sit down and speak to this 16 17 individual? 18 Α Yes. 19 Was Riley read Miranda? Q 20 Α Yes. Did Riley agree to speak to officers? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. Did Riley make several different statements that 23 24 they were planning on trying to disrupt the downtown area 25 as well as target police officers by using smoke bombs?

```
1
         Α
              Yes.
              Did she specifically state that Suvarna Ratnam
 2
         0
    got the smoke bombs and brought them in order to try to
 3
    either harm the police or to try to get the police not to
 4
    follow them any further?
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
 7
              And with regards to Riley, did Riley also state
        Q
    that the umbrellas were being used to block cameras and
 8
 9
    things of that nature?
10
        Α
              Yes.
              Were there several -- were there actually a
11
12
    couple of individuals or at least one individual that had
13
    a gun on them?
        А
              Yes.
14
              And who was that?
15
        Q
16
        Α
              Suvarna Ratnam.
17
              So what about Britney?
        Q
18
         Α
              Yes.
              There is another individual by the name of
19
         Q
    Britney -- hold on one second. Did Britney have a slung
20
21
    AR15 rifle?
22
        Α
              Yes.
23
              And did Riley state that the reason why they had
24
    guns is as a show of force?
25
        Α
              Yes.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And with regards to the smoke bombs, did Riley specifically state that, again, their intention was to create a diversion so officers couldn't drive through it so they couldn't see to drive through it? A Yes. I don't believe I have any further factual Q questions for this officer. MR. BAKER: Do any members of the grand jury have questions for this witness? Keep in mind he will remain outside after the testimony of the next witness. So if you think of anything in the interim we can bring him back in. Does anyone have any questions for him now? GRAND JUROR No. of the Control of Was Mr. Collins -you mentioned he was taking photographs, was he working in a professional capacity for anyone? Not to my knowledge, no. THE WITNESS: (By Ms. Sponsel) And just to follow up to that Q question, good question. With regards to Ryder Collins, the grand juror just asked if he was working in a professional capacity. Have you guys had actual members of the news media down at these protests slash riots? Α Yes. And what are they required to wear in order for

you guys to know that, hey, this is actually a news

```
person?
 1
 2
             Placard, an ID placard.
             And do they wear it to where it's very visible
 3
        O
 4
    for you guys to know, hey, that's the news media?
 5
        Α
             Yes.
 6
        0
             And was Ryder Collins wearing anything like
 7
    that?
 8
        Α
             No.
                  GRAND JUROR .:
                                         Did Mr. Collins have
 9
10
    equipment to take photographs with?
11
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 He had a camera.
12
                  GRAND JUROR
                                        Do we know if
    Mr. Collins is part of that group?
13
14
                  THE WITNESS: It is our contention that he
15
    was a part of that group.
16
                  GRAND JUROR :
                                       What time again was
    the code four called out?
17
                  THE WITNESS: Code four was called out at
18
19
    approximately 2040 hours 8:40 p.m.
20
        0
              (By Ms. Sponsel) Just to follow up, what is code
    four?
21
                                        Everybody was
22
                  GRAND JUROR
23
    arrested.
                  MS. SPONSEL:
                                 No, that's fine.
24
25
    asking him, sorry.
```

```
(By Ms. Sponsel) Officer, what is code four to
 1
 2
    you?
 3
             To be clear, code four is radio verbiage for
    everything is fine and under control.
 4
                   MS. SPONSEL: Any other factual questions
 5
    for this witness?
 6
                                          Were the guns that
 7
                   GRAND JUROR
    they had, were they registered to those individuals that
 8
    possessed them?
 9
10
                   MR. BAKER: Officer, are you aware of the
    fact there is no qun registration in Arizona?
11
12
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 I am.
                   MR. BAKER: Therefore, guns cannot be
13
14
    registered in Arizona.
                   MS. SPONSEL: Any other further factual
15
    questions for this officer?
16
17
                   GRAND JURY PANEL: (No response.)
                               There being no further factual
18
                   MR. BAKER:
    questions at this time.
19
                   You are admonished that Arizona law
20
    prohibits you from discussing your testimony here today
21
22
    with anybody other than the prosecution.
23
                   If you don't mind having a seat back
24
    outside for a moment.
25
                   (Whereupon the witness enters the room.)
```

1 2 DOUG MCBRIDE, 3 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 4 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. SPONSEL: Good afternoon, Sergeant, can you please just 8 Q introduce yourself to the members of the grand jury. 9 10 Α I am Doug McBride. I am a sergeant with Phoenix. 11 12 Q And how long have you been with the Phoenix 13 Police Department? 14 Α 26 years. And as an officer with Phoenix Police 15 Q 16 Department, in that 26 years have you had several 17 different assignments? 18 I have. And what is your current assignment? 19 Currently I am the downtown operations training 20 Α And I work all the civil unrests in the city 21 sergeant. 22 as well as take care of the training for that civil 23 unrest. And prior to -- how long have you been assigned 24 25 in that particular local?

1	A Just coming up on four years.
2	Q And prior to that where were you assigned?
3	A Prior to that I was a FAP, fugitive
4	apprehension, sergeant for almost ten years.
5	Q And what do those individuals do?
6	A Basically, I ran a squad that looks for people
7	who have probable cause for their arrest, violent
8	offenders, and they have warrants for their arrest.
9	Service of search warrants and apprehension is our
10	primary focus.
11	Q And prior to that where were you assigned?
12	A Prior to that, I was in the Maryvale precinct as
13	a field training sergeant.
14	Q And prior to that where were you at?
15	A Prior to that I was a new sergeant in Maryvale
16	on third shift.
17	Q At some point were you signed to the
18	A Been going a long time, yes. I was a gang
19	detective. So I was a gang detective for five years
20	prior to promoting to sergeant.
21	Q How does one become a gang detective?
22	A Gang detectives are a specialty position within
23	the City of Phoenix. You have to show knowledge and
24	experience in dealing with gang members. I was lucky to

be assigned to the South Mountain Precinct where there

25

was a plethora of gang members to interact with. Based on my information and my experience, I was recruited to help with several large investigations of home invasion, rip crews, and stuff like that in south Phoenix. That led to me actually testing for the gang unit and getting selected to join the gang unit back in 2000, I believe.

Q With regards to being a gang detective and working in that capacity, did you have the opportunity to become very familiar with the Arizona Revised Statutes and the definition that sets out what a criminal street gang is in Arizona?

A I did.

Q And with regards to that definition, do you also have to be familiar with another definition that sets out criteria in order for you to be able to document somebody as a criminal street gang member?

A Yes.

Q And even though you are no longer assigned to gangs do you continue to know those statutes and apply those statutes when needed and necessary in different investigations?

A Absolutely.

Q Now, with regards to an investigation that occurred back on October 17 of 2020 which we are here for today, are you familiar with this investigation?

```
Α
              I am.
 1
 2
              This investigation involved approximately 17
         0
 3
     individuals or 18 individuals, but we are here on 15 of
 4
     those individuals including Benjamin Llanes, Suvarna
     Ratnam, and others?
 5
              Yes.
 6
         Α
 7
              And you are familiar with this investigation?
         0
              I am.
 8
         Α
 9
              Did you participate in this investigation?
              I did.
10
         Α
11
              Did you write reports relating to this
12
    investigation?
13
        Α
              I did.
              And are you familiar with those reports for your
14
         0
15
    testimony here today in front of the grand jury?
16
        Α
              I am.
17
              Now prior to October 17, had you had the
18
    opportunity to get familiar with some of the individuals
19
    that are listed here in this investigation?
20
        Α
              I did.
21
              How did you become familiar with those
22
    individuals?
23
              Through several arrests and violent crimes that
24
    were committed, we had contact with several of the
25
    members on a prior occasion with prior civil unrests.
```

Q And have you had the opportunity because of your involvement in this investigation to make a determination as to whether or not these individuals meet the definition of being a criminal street gang under Arizona Revised Statutes?

A I did. And they do.

Q Let's go ahead and talk about that. What is the that name of this gang?

A It is called ACAB, A-C-A-B, and it stands for All Cops Are Bastards. We first came into contact with this group through graffiti, signage, ACAB written on the back of skateboards and different paraphernalia throughout our 150-plus day deployment and mobilization and civil unrest in Phoenix.

And as part of your taking a look at whether or not these individuals met the definition of a criminal street gang, I want to talk to you about what you guys have to or what has to be shown. Does under Arizona Revised Statute does it state a criminal street gang means an ongoing formal or informal association of persons in which members or associates individually or collectively engage in the commission, attempted commission, facilitation, or solicitation of any felony act and that has at least one individual who is a criminal street gang member. You are familiar with that

definition we talked about? Α I am. And with regards to this A-C-A-B or ACAB group, 0 do they have one individual who is at least a documented member of this organization? Yes, they do. Α And when we talk about whether or not somebody 0 can be documented as a criminal street gang member do you have to take into consideration, again, the statute that lays out what the requirements that you guys have to look for? Α Absolutely. Those requirements being one of the seven criteria either, A, self proclamation; B, witness testimony or official statement; C, written or electronic correspondence; D, paraphernalia or photographs; E, tattoos; F, clothing or colors; and, G any other indicia

A Correct.

of street gang membership?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Can you give the grand jurors through your training and experience an example of what other indicia mean under the law?

A Other indicia can be, as I stated earlier, signage. It can be chants that they do. In this case, chants walking down the street. It could be they decide

to paint on the side of their car ACAB. Different things that aren't necessarily covered in the other six criteria can be covered in the other if it directly relates to the organization and the gang itself.

- Q And with regards to other indicia, how about just hanging out with each other, with other members, can that also be considered indicia?
- A Absolutely. Under the gang statute, just hanging around and participating can also be an other indication of formal or informal association.
- Q And through your investigation, were you able to document all of these members with meeting at least two of the criteria set out under the Arizona Revised Statutes?
- A I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q I want to talk to you specifically about some of the individuals, the main individuals. Were you able to identify one of the main individuals in this group?
- 19 A I was.
- 20 Q And who was that?
- 21 A Suvarna Ratnam?
 - Q And with regards to applying the gang statute to her, what of the seven criteria were you able to find that she in fact meets?
- 25 A She was able to actually to meet five of the

seven criteria. So she has put a tattoo on her wrist that actually says A-C-A-B or ACAB. She was dressed in the black block clothing which is a description for all black clothing over the outside of other clothing that actually concealed not only your identity but your sex as well. She also is a -- she self proclaimed part of ACAB. She also has written some written correspondence through some messaging apps where she also wrote about ACAB and the organization itself. There was one more. So four out of the seven.

Q So and the other individuals that have been listed in this indictment, did you find they met at least two of the criteria?

A I did.

Q And what were those other two criteria where you were able to attribute the other individuals listed in the indictment?

A Both self proclamation and the colors, the clothing.

Q And through your training and experience of dealing with this ACAB group what exactly color -- what color do they claim?

A Black.

Q And you stated these guys are known to walk down the street and start chanting out ACAB. Is that a way

```
1
     for them to self identify with this criminal street gang?
 2
              Yes.
         Α
 3
              Now, Detective, in your training and experience
 4
     with regards to this particular gang versus, let's say,
     the Bloods and the Crips, are you familiar with those
 5
 6
     types of criminal street gangs, the Bloods and the Crips?
 7
              Very much.
 8
              And with regards to your training and
         0
 9
    experience, the Bloods, do they have a specific color
10
    they claim?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              What color is that?
         0
13
         Α
              Red.
14
              Do they tattoo themselves in furtherance of
         0
15
    their gang to show their loyalty?
16
              Yes, they do.
        Α
17
        Q
              What kind of things, what kind of tattoos do
18
    they get?
19
        Α
              They can do any moniker of their actual Blood
20
          So if they are a 79 Swan, they can get 79 Swan put
21
    on there. A lot of times they'll put their street where
22
    they live as part of their neighborhood. A lot of times
23
    Bloods will also put the letters CK which means crip
24
    killer because that's their main rival. And they'll also
25
    do, they can do numbers as well to correlate with letters
```

of the alphabet. So one being A, two being B, that kind of stuff they'll put on their body to identify themselves as well.

- Q And are you finding that ACAB is following the exact same type of philosophy of let's say Bloods and the Crips?
 - A Yes.

- Q And what about even maybe the same philosophy as the Hells Angels?
 - A Very similar, yes.
- 11 Q And why would that be similar?
 - A I think because the tattoos, the intimidation factor, how they are directing their violent behavior, and they're organizing their violent behavior very similar to the Hells Angel organization where they actually organize their violent behavior, and then they carry that out in very organized fashion. It's not random with the Hells Angels.
 - Q And are you finding that's exactly what this ACAB group is doing is they are organizing for the intent to create violence?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, in your training and experience, because I know you talks about, you know, the Bloods and we brought up the Crips and stuff like that, do you find that

whether or not there is a difference between ACAB and let's say the Bloods and the Crips?

- A Yes, I do find very large difference actually.
- Q And what is that difference?

A This is the first time in my experience or in Phoenix PD's experience that we have an organized group of individuals and all of their planning and all of their violence is directed directly toward the police department. We've never had that before. With Bloods in the Crips, where there will be interactions with police based on their behavior and their criminal behavior, they are not physically organizing or practicing or teaching each other to be violent against police.

This group is specifically setting out almost on a weekly basis to disrupt police, commit violent acts of aggravated assault against police, throw incendiary devices at police. And they are talking about it, they are buying the equipment, they are bringing it to the gathering, and executing those plans.

Q And in fact, after these individuals, some of these individuals were taken into custody, did you guys find other weapons on them such as bricks and rocks?

A Yes, we did.

Q And why would that even be relevant? I guess, I could carry a brick or rock in my bag. Why is it

relevant with regards to this particular group?

1.7

2.0

2.3

A Because those are the items that have been thrown at us directly. Officers have been struck with rocks, bricks, water bottles, ice bottles, a plethora -- anything that they can pick up and throw we've been hit with.

Q And what about the umbrella, is that part of their, I guess you could say their gang uniform?

A It is. It's an extension of what they are doing to disrupt us and to try and defeat our tactics which are pepper stray and different kinds of nonlethal munitions. The umbrella will provide a protective umbrella around them, to use the umbrella word, and then it will also conceal what they are doing. As this group moved on October 17th, they were able to conceal what each person was doing by draping umbrellas around the exterior of the group so we couldn't see inside.

Q And in the past has this group, just in general, have they actually used the umbrellas as a weapon?

A Yes. So on a prior occasion, the Suvarna
Ratnam, who I discussed earlier, she actually utilized
her umbrella to stab an officer in the hand causing a cut
on his hand.

Q And are you guys finding that watching the national trends across the country that these are tactics

that are being deployed by those groups that are just focusing in on violence?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q In your training and experience of working criminal street gangs, do you have an opinion as to whether or not ACAB is in fact a documented -- excuse me, is a street gang here under the Arizona Revised Statutes?

A They absolutely meet every single part of the statute.

Q And with regards to working in the downtown operations unit working protests versus riots, what's the different between a peaceful protest under the 1st amendment versus what we have here in this case?

A peaceful protest is just like the 1st Α amendment says: The right to peacefully assemble. means we are not breaking laws, we're not interfering with other people's right, we're not shutting down government operations and/or main arteries that feed the downtown area specifically. Peaceful protests were not engaging police. We are not assaulting police. not throwing projectiles at police. People typically in a peaceful protest are not covering their identity. They are not trying to hide their -- what they are doing. They maintain staying on the sidewalk following all applicable laws and letting their message be heard. And

1 we have hundreds if not thousands of those every year 2 with no issue. 3 And with this particular group, are you guys giving them commands pretty much basically from the very 4 beginning that they stepped into the street when they 5 started to impede traffic? 6 7 Yes. Α Now, I know that I've asked previously but I am 8 going to ask you: These things, were they captured on 9 10 body worn camera as well as surveillance video that you guys utilize? 11 12 Α Yes. And did you have the Phoenix Police Department 0 13 put together several different clips using the 14 surveillance video as well as the body cam video in order 15 to help aid the understanding of what exactly was going 16 on during the riot back on October 17, 2020? 17 18 Α Yes. And did you have an opportunity to take a look 19 20 at the video? 21 Α I did reviewed it. 22 MS. SPONSEL: Do we need to have this 23 marked as Exhibit 1? MR. BAKER: We can do that. 24 (By Ms. Sponsel) Sergeant, while I am waiting 25 0

for that to continue to download, with regards to this particular date and the organization, did you find they were very well organized on October 17, 2020?

A I did.

Q And was that based on your previous knowledge or, and/or both maybe of also what you were observing that night and what you guys found on a lot of these individuals?

A I believe it would be both, both prior knowledge and watching what transpired that evening.

(Video playing.)

Q So what is the, what are the grand jurors taking a look at here?

A This is the group of individuals identified on October 17. They are moving down the center of a major street, I believe that is Van Buren Street which is a very busy major artery in downtown Phoenix. You see the police car there having to block traffic because we had to divert dozens of vehicles as they took the street over. Obviously, you can see the use of the umbrella and that black block clothing. So it's very difficult to make out who is male, female.

And then this is actually -- this is going to be Washington Street. And this is where they threw three incendiary devices. They burn at about 700 degrees

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Fahrenheit, can catch a lot of things on fire. threw them at the two trailing police vehicles obviously obscuring our view which then enabled them to start taking barricades from the side of the street and placing them in the traffic way to try and disable our vehicles. And did one vehicle actually become disabled? Α Yes. Now, we saw the two Tahoes. Are there any officers on foot near the Tahoes when the smoke bombs or incendiary devices were thrown? Yes, I believe there a few grenadiers, the guys I actually supervise, who have the nonlethal munitions. There was a few of them out on foot in case we needed to address the crowd. And did they have issues seeing around the smoke Q in order for them to be able to do their job duty? Correct. As soon as that smoke is deployed, it's very difficult to see as you just experienced. Q With regard to these devices, if these devices hit an officer directly, can it cause burns? Yes. Α Can it cause serious physical injury? Α Yes. Q And the grenadiers, what exactly is a grenadier? We have a certain number, actually about 30 Α

individuals in the Phoenix Police Department, who are certified in deploying less lethal munitions things like smoke. We have smoke as well, tear gas. We also have a PepperBall which is like a paint ball with pepper spray in it. We have super sock. It's a stun bag round which is used all throughout the department. And we have noisemakers like aerial bangs and muzzle bangs that just make noise. There is no projectile in those.

Q And with regard to the Phoenix Police Department and policies and procedures and things of that nature, do you guys have to wait for something to happen in order for you to use any of those types of munitions to respond to a crowd?

A Absolutely. We are looking for active aggression and violent behavior before any of that is ever utilized.

Q And do you know, does ACAB have policies and procedures with waiting -- where they have to wait to be able to use their munitions against you guys?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q All right. And so here, they just started throwing these smoke bombs. Were you guys even anywhere -- I mean, you guys were following, but were you guys doing anything to them such as yelling at them, throwing anything at them, hitting them with PepperBalls,

```
or anything like that?
 1
              At this point all we were doing was loud audible
 2
        Α
    messages asking them to get out of the roadway at this
 3
 4
    point.
                   (Video playing.)
 5
              Now, it looks like we've switched from, I think
 6
 7
    it's called strong watch. It is strong watch video?
                    It's a camera mounted on the back of a
 8
        Α
              Yes.
 9
    truck and this looks like a body cam here.
              And is this one of the officers that had to deal
10
        0
11
    with the smoke bombs?
              Yes.
12
        Α
13
                   (Video playing.)
              And even at that point were you guys using
14
        Q
15
    PepperBalls or anything despite the fact they are
16
    throwing these types of things at you guys?
17
        Α
              No.
              Now, are we going back to the strong watch?
18
19
                   (Video playing.)
20
        Α
              Correct.
21
        Q
              Tell the grand jurors what's happening here.
22
              As I indicated earlier, they started to take the
23
    construction barriers that are there to protect the
24
    public from all the open holes and the street work that's
25
    being done and they are placing them strategically in the
```

middle of the roadway to disable our vehicles, disrupt our following of the crowd to make sure that they conform to the law. You'll see the crowd moving in unison. Everybody has a part whether it's holding an umbrella or grabbing a barricade and dragging it out to the street.

Q Now, the Ryder Collins, he's one of the individual listed in the indictment. And he was going around, and he was taking photographs. Did you see him join up with the group while you guys were dealing with all this?

A Yes, he was in and around the group throughout the entire night.

(Video playing.)

- Q Did it appear as though he was working with concert with them?
- A Yes, he did.

Q What's going on here?

A Obviously, we are having to get out and clear the barricades so our vehicles can get through safely which again puts officers in jeopardy out in the middle of the street dealing with these heavy barricades which they put out into the middle of the street. The grenadier -- that's one of my grenadiers. He's just covering and helping just so no one gets hurt.

Q Did this allow the group to get even further?

A Yes. They continued quite a ways in front of us until we get to this point which is the arrest.

Q And why did you guys decide to arrest them at this point?

A They had already thrown the three incendiary devices at us. They had moved all the barricades. They disabled a police car. And right before this moment, my lieutenant actually heard from the group that they were going to take the light rail platform which is a train system downtown. And there was an actual light rail train southbound on those tracks at that time. And he felt that that would be extremely dangerous not only to them but to the people on the light rail as well. It's a high voltage electric train system that works down there, so he did not want to allow that to happen.

Q And we are going to get into, and I think we are going to be able to see some of this further on, but when all this group got to this corner what exactly did they do?

A So what happens here is they actually, we move in to make arrests and tell them to get down. And the group, basically somebody sets off another incendiary device within the center of the crowd. You can see it briefly when this first started. That wasn't us; that was them. And then they take the umbrella and they

completely conceal their identity under there. And we also -- I didn't mention earlier, but there is one individual that we noticed early on who has an AR15 rifle slung. So they cover that person up as well so we can't see what they are doing either.

- Q And does that create a risk for you guys?
- A Absolutely. It's a huge hazard for us.
- Q And even though that person is not pointing the gun at you guys in any way, shape, or form, by the mere fact they are covering it up does that put you guys in apprehension that something could happen?
 - A Absolutely.

Q All right. So let's go ahead and -- I am sorry. When they go down to the ground, are they all just laying there separately or do they go down as a group?

A They go down as a group, and they use a specific tactic when they do. They are trying to prevent themselves or their buddy from being arrested. And how they do that is they interlock their arms and legs so they are very like chain linked together, if you will, with a human body. And if you can imagine 18 people, even if we took everyone in this room and linked you guys together it would be very difficult to start to break that chain of group to try and get people into custody. So it creates a huge hazard for officers both physically,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean just -- I am old, and bending over and trying to like, you know, pick people up and break them apart and, you know, it's a hazard. And we have the umbrellas to deal too. So you got to kind of rip those out and get those out of the way and then make sure they are not pointing a gun at you and take them into custody. very much a -- it's a hazardous situation for us. And did officers -- did some officers including yourself actually become injured during the arrest? Α So it is what it is. They basically -- so Yes. when you -- as I reach down to grab their fingers and hands and pull them apart, they utilize a technique where they -- they actually in some cases sharpen their fingernails. I don't know if you guys are familiar with that, but they'll actually put points on them, and they'll dig them into the back of our knuckles and hands. My particular thumb got disrupted. It was cut open and bleeding and still hurts, actually the ligaments in it. They'll do that as well just to prevent us from taking them into custody. Q Let's go ahead and watch his portion. (Video playing.) So this is the arrest. And it's typical we have guys that are separating the group apart and guys protecting the actual officers that are taking people

into custody. We had one individual that tried to climb the fence and throw something at one of the grenadiers, and he had to address him with some PepperBall. Another grenadier sprayed that same individual with those seed spray (phonetic). But basically we are dragging them apart. We are making sure that -- rendering them relatively save, putting handcuffs on them, and getting into vehicles as quickly as we can.

(Video playing.)

Q Who is that talking?

A That's my lieutenant. That's Lieutenant
Benjamin Moore of the Phoenix Police Department. That
individual is climbing the fence right there in the
background. You see him up on the fence, and he's
actually reaching into the back of his backpack.

Q Is that individual Nathan Aderholdt?

A I believe so, yes. And that's PepperBall being addressed as he's noncompliant and then the pepper spray.

Q And who is that officer?

A That's Officer Chris Teriano. He's a grenadier giving very specific instructions. I don't think -- it's easy to understand, and he refuses to. Based on his actions he's PepperBalled and sprayed with pepper spray.

Q And are we slowing down his actions here in a little bit?

1 Α Yes. 2 And again that's Nathan? Q 3 Yes. And that's another angle of him A 4 confronting the officer, not complying with directives. 5 Now, I am going to stop it here for a second 6 just to clarify. Now, when you guys -- in order for you 7 guys to use the PepperBalls, pepper spray, and stuff like 8 that, do have you to wait for that active aggression? 9 Α Yes. And were you guys, we they were getting ready to 10 11 slow it down with regard to Nathan Aderholdt, do you guys 12 actually see the active aggression other than him just 13 trying to get up over the fence? I don't know if Officer Teriano saw him reaching 14 Α 15 into his backpack at the time but his aggressive nature 16 in trying to get over that fence and not complying. It's 17 interesting to note 17 other people complied with the 18 command to get down on the ground, and this one 19 individual remained defiant and continued to engage the 20 officers which is what precipitated the PepperBall. 21 0 Let's go ahead and --22 (Video playing.) Is this just slowed down? 23 0 24 Α Slowed down, same angle. They are going to kind 25 of point it out to you. He's holding on to the fence

there. He uses his right hand to go into the back of his backpack, come forward. See how he comes forward with an object in his hand. We don't know what that object is. And it ends up on the ground because he drops it. Just another angle. He pulls himself up, reaches in the back, and brings an object about. And that's when Officer Teriano directs his direct impact to him.

- Q And you guys don't at that point know if he has a gun or anything like that with that action?
 - A Correct.

- Q Now, what are we taking a look at here?
- A That's my -- I believe that's me.
- Q And is this the intertwining that you are talking about where they are interlocking themselves?
- A Correct. Yeah, that's mine. I just pulled an umbrella out, and I am trying to get their hands. You see they interlock their hands.
- Q And is this the way they are hindering your guys' ability to effectuate the arrest for all of these crimes?
- A Correct. You see their legs are intertwined. Their hands are intertwined. They are just dead weight. And they are, you know, forcing us to utilize a lot of extra energy and effort to get them into custody instead of just self surrendering like most people do.

You can see the balance issues just making it as hard as possible. There is the rifle. That individual also had a handgun on them as well. And there is the layers of clothing, extra magazine ammunition, and the Glock.

Q Now, so with regards to these individuals and their organization, I know we've talked about the Bloods and the Crips and things of that nature, have you ever seen the Bloods or the Crips where they are organized like this to where they actually interlock their arms and their legs?

A No.

Q And when we are talking about organizations, would you equate these guys to the better, well-organized criminal street gang such as Hells Angels or Mexican Mafia rather than let's say the Bloods or the Crips?

A Yes.

Q And why would you say that?

A They are just coordinated. An interaction with a Blood or a Crip is usually happenstance or by their action and police responding to that location. It's not a purposeful act. The Bloods and Crips don't sit out at their home and say we are going to go down to headquarters and engage the police in activity. They just don't do that. They are going to go commit an armed

robbery or homicide, and we are going to come and interact with them. This is different. This is a coordinated attack like we've seen from Hells Angels not on police.

It's important to note that Hells Angels don't attack police either. But they'll to that with their rivals like the Mongrels and other motorcycle gangs they'll do coordinating. I mean, they launched in Canada one time they took a bunch of rocket launchers and launched them at their rival's residences if you will. So they have a very coordinated approach. They are very organized. They communicate with their group. They have a hierarchy of leaders and the subordinates and hang-arounds. And they have a very distinguished hierarchy which is very similar to this ACAB group.

Q And with regards to -- just one more question for you with regard to Nathan Aderholdt, the one that we saw trying to get on the fence and then do that action of pulling that item out. When officers saw that, Officer Matt Morgan as well as Mario Lozoya and Joseph Kapla, did they go in to effectuate his actual arrest of trying to grab ahold of him and trying to get him into custody?

A Yes, they did.

Q Was he still flailing around his arms and things of that nature using force against the officers so they

1 couldn't get handcuffs on him guickly? 2 Correct. He resisted arrest actively. 3 So did it take three officers to eventually get 0 him into handcuffs? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 MS. SPONSEL: I don't believe I have any 7 further factual questions. 8 MR. BAKER: Does anyone have any factual 9 questions for this witness? 10 GRAND JUROR : I believe you mentioned that Suvarna Ratnam used an umbrella to strike 11 12 Was that during this occasion? an officer. 13 THE WITNESS: No, that was on a prior 14 occasion. 15 MR. BAKER: Ladies and gentlemen, I would advise members of the grand jury you may use that only 16 17 for limited purposes. You may not conclude merely 18 because somebody may have committed a crime in the past they are more likely to have committed the crimes here. 19 However, you may consider it for identification purposes 20 as to how law enforcement might know her. And also to 21 give you some notion of what her motivation might be 22 23 during the course of the matters that are under 24 presentation here. GRAND JUROR DONGELL: Were there other 25

```
1
    victims?
              I thought you had listed victims before the
 2
    officers' names.
 3
                   MR. BAKER: That would be the State of
    Arizona and City of the Phoenix. As to the officers,
 4
 5
    they are the only ones listed in the draft indictment.
 6
                  GRAND JUROR
                               It was all officers
 7
    that were listed?
 8
                  MR. BAKER:
                              They were listed in the draft
 9
    indictment.
                 Did you have some other people?
10
                  MS. SPONSEL: Yes, those are all Phoenix
11
    officers.
12
                  GRAND JUROR
                                         Okay.
13
    standing around were victims?
14
                  MS. SPONSEL:
                                No.
15
        Q
              (By Ms. Sponsel) Were there several civilians
16
    from your observations that had their night disrupted
17
    because of these individuals?
             Yes.
18
        A
                  GRAND JUROR :
19
                                       The item that Nathan
20
    had pulled out of his backpack when he was trying to
21
    climb up the fence, was that ever located? Do we know
22
    what it was?
23
                  THE WITNESS: We don't.
                                           It was not located
24
    that evening.
25
                  MS. SPONSEL: Any further factual
```

1 questions? 2 GRAND JUROR The officers that 3 sustained injury, can you just remind us what was the injuries they sustained? 4 5 THE WITNESS: They just cut open the back 6 of my finger. It's healing, but it's just sore and hurt 7 and bled all over the place. 8 (By Ms. Sponsel) Do you know, were there any 0 9 other injuries -- from your recollection, do you know did 10 any other officers get any other type of injuries like 11 that? 12 I don't believe so. Α I don't believe there was 13 anybody else. 14 But the other, the other stuff that was used Q 15 against the officers, such as the incendiary devices and 16 things of that nature, those things could have harmed 17 those officers to the point that one of them was 18 concerned enough he had to get out of his patrol car to get it out of the way? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 MR. BAKER: Any further questions for the 22 sergeant? 23 GRAND JUROR • Is it normal for an officer to go up to something that's smoking like that? 24 25 I mean, what if it would have blown up on them or

```
1
     something.
                He didn't have any protection other than
 2
     just -- he didn't have nothing. He just walked up to it?
 3
                   THE WITNESS: Correct.
                                           What I'll sav to
 4
    that is the grenadiers are highly trained. So he's one
 5
    of my top instructors. So he's pretty good at readily
 6
    identifying something right out of the gate.
 7
    felt -- if he felt comfortable doing so. Obviously, we'd
 8
    like to dispose of it in the different way, but in the
 9
    immediacy of the moments he had to push past it to get to
10
    the crowd. He rendered it semi safe by placing it in a
11
    place where it wouldn't catch anything on fire so he
12
    could move forward. And then we went back and collected
13
    that item later.
                                 Any other factual questions?
14
                  MS. SPONSEL:
                  GRAND JURY PANEL: (No response.)
15
                  MR. BAKER: I take it by your silence that
16
17
    there are none.
                  You are admonished that Arizona law
18
19
    prohibits you from discussing your testimony here today
20
    with anybody other than the prosecution.
                  If you don't mind having a seat back
21
22
    outside for a moment.
23
                   (Whereupon the witness is excused.)
24
                  MR. BAKER:
                              Before we ask for legal
    questions, we told you the first witness would remain
25
```

```
available should you have any further questions for that
 1
 2
               Does anyone have any for the first witness?
 3
     Apparently not.
 4
                   At this point, any legal questions?
 5
                   GRAND JURY PANEL:
                                       (No response.)
 6
                   MR. BAKER:
                               I take it by your silence that
 7
    there are none.
 8
                   We'll step outside and allow you to
 9
    deliberate to determine which of your options you wish to
10
    pursue.
11
                   (Whereupon the deputy county attorney and
12
    the court reporter were excused from the grand jury room,
13
    were subsequently recalled into the grand jury room, and
14
    the following proceedings were had:)
15
                   GRAND JUROR
                                : The jury has voted and
16
    directs the county attorney to prepare a draft indictment
17
    for our consideration.
18
                               The county attorney has
                  MR. BAKER:
19
    prepared a draft indictment for your consideration.
20
    Please remember the admonitions read to you this morning
21
    with regards to the draft indictment is still applicable.
                   (Whereupon the deputy county attorney and
22
23
    the court reporter were excused from the grand jury room,
24
    were subsequently recalled into the grand jury room, and
25
    the following proceedings were had:)
```

```
1
                               The clerk will now
                  GRAND JUROR
 2
     read the grand jury's findings.
 3
                  GRAND JUROR . The grand jury with
    16 members present and only members of the grand jury
 4
 5
    present, deliberated upon the evidence, and with 16
 6
    jurors voting by a vote of 16 to zero, returned a True
 7
    Bill.
 8
                  MR. BAKER: That concludes this
 9
    investigation.
10
                             --000--
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

RETURN APPEARANCES: DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY: Mike Baker GRAND JURORS: --000--

```
1
                   (Whereupon Judge Steve Hopkins is called
 2
    into the courtroom, and the following proceedings were
 3
    had:)
 4
 5
                       PROCEEDINGS
 6
                       RETURN OF INDICTMENT
 7
                   THE COURT: You may be seated, Ladies and
 8
    Gentlemen. This is the 790th Maricopa County Grand Jury.
 9
                   Deputy County Attorney Mike Baker is
10
    present.
11
                  The standard orders read on October 9,
12
    2020, are still in full force and effect.
13
                  The Foreperson may proceed with today's
14
    returns.
15
                  GRAND JUROR
                               :
                                        Your Honor, case 790
16
    GJ 164, a True Bill. My signature appears on the
17
    indictment endorsing it a True Bill.
18
                              NSI as to all 15 co-defendants,
                  MR. BAKER:
                 In addition, there is an exhibit with that
19
    Your Honor.
20
    file.
21
                  THE COURT: And the exhibit is what?
22
    it's a video. All right. It's ordered that a Notice of
23
    Supervening Indictment shall issue with respect to all 15
24
    defendants.
                              --000--
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Brigid M. Donovan, CR, a Certified Reporter in the State of Arizona, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter, all done to the best of my skill and ability.

SIGNED and dated this 17th day of November,

1s/ Brigid M. Donovan

Brigid M. Donovan, RPR
Certified Reporter #50902